arXiv:1211.1353v1 [math.NT] 6 Nov 2012

ON THE EXISTENCE OF LARGE DEGREE GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS FOR FIELDS OF SMALL DISCRIMINANT

JEREMY ROUSE AND FRANK THORNE

Abstract. Let L/K be a Galois extension of number fields. We prove two lower bounds on the maximum of the degrees of the irreducible complex representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$, the sharper of which is conditional on the Artin Conjecture and the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis. Our bound is nontrivial when $[K:\mathbb{Q}]$ is small and L has small root discriminant, and might be summarized as saying that such fields can't be "too abelian."

1. Introduction

It is known that the discriminant of a number field cannot be too small. Minkowski's work on the geometry of numbers implies that $|\mathrm{Disc}(K)| > (\frac{e^2\pi}{4} - o(1))^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$; we write this bound as $\mathrm{rd}_K > \frac{e^2\pi}{4} - o(1)$, where $\mathrm{rd}_K := (|\mathrm{Disc}(K)|)^{1/d_K}$ is the *root discriminant* of K. The sharpest known bounds, due to Poitou [15] (see also Odlyzko [14]), are

$$\mathrm{rd}_K \geq (60.8395\dots)^{r_1/d_K}(22.3816\dots)^{2r_2/d_K} - O(d_K^{-2/3}), \tag{1.1}$$

where $d_K = r_1 + 2r_2$, and r_1 and r_2 are the numbers of real and complex embeddings of K, respectively. (The error term in (1.1) can be improved.) If one assumes the generalized Riemann Hypothesis, the constants above can be improved to $215.3325\dots$ and $44.7632\dots$ respectively.

Conversely, Golod and Shafarevich [3] proved that these bounds are sharp apart from the constants, by establishing the existence of *infinite class field towers* $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq K_3 \subseteq$ where each K_{i+1}/K_i is abelian and unramified, so that each field K_i has the same root discriminant. Martinet [10] gave the example $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{11}+\zeta_{11}^{-1}, \sqrt{-46})$, which has an infinite 2-class field tower of root discriminant $92.2\dots$, and Hajir and Maire [4, 5] constructed a tower of fields with root discriminants bounded by 82.2, in which tame ramification is allowed.

It is expected that fields of small discriminant should be uncommon. For example, in [14] Odlyzko asks whether there are infinitely many fields of *prime degree* of bounded root discriminant; such fields cannot be constructed via class field towers. Several researchers have studied this question in small degree. Jones and Roberts [8] studied the set of Galois number fields $K/\mathbb{Q}$ with certain fixed Galois groups G; for a variety of groups including A_4, A_5, A_6, S_4, S_5, S_6 they proved that $\mathrm{rd}_K > 44.7632\dots$ apart from a finite list of fields K which they compute explicitly. Voight [16] studied the set of all totally real number fields K with $\mathrm{rd}_K \leq 14$, finding that there are exactly 1229 such fields, each with $[K:\mathbb{Q}] \leq 9$.

In light of this work, it is natural to ask whether fields of small discriminant must have any special algebraic properties. The easiest result to prove is that they *cannot be abelian*, and we carry this out in the introduction (starting with (1.4)). Carrying this idea further, we study the representation theory of Galois groups of extensions of small discriminant, and prove that such Galois groups must have (relatively) large degree complex representations.

We will prove two versions of this result. The first is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let L/K be a Galois extension and let r be the maximum of the degrees of the irreducible complex representations of* $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. *Then, we have*

$$r \gg \frac{1}{\log(\mathrm{rd}_L)} \left(\frac{\log \log d_L}{\log \log \log d_L} - \log d_K \right). \tag{1.2}$$

Here $d_L = [L : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $d_K = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ and the implied constant is absolute.

The basic idea of the proof is to regard L as an abelian extension of an intermediate field F of small degree. The existence of such an F follows from Theorem 12.23 of [6], which states that if $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$, then G must have an abelian subgroup of index $\leq (r!)^2$. (There is also a converse, for which see Problem 2.9 of [6]: if G has an irreducible representation of degree $> r$, then G cannot have an abelian subgroup of index $\leq r$.) We may then adapt our proof for the abelian case to prove that either L has small root discriminant, or F has relatively large degree.

It is also possible to study the representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ directly, without first passing to an intermediate extension F, via Artin L-functions. We were unable to improve upon Theorem 1.1 this way, but under the hypothesis that Artin L-functions are well behaved we prove the following improvement of Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that all Artin L-functions are entire and satisfy the Riemann Hypothesis. If L/K is any Galois extension and r is the maximum of the degrees of the irreducible complex representations of* $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$, *then*

$$r \gg \frac{(\log d_L)^{1/5}}{(\log \mathrm{rd}_L)^{2/5} d_K^{3/5}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where the implied constant is absolute.

Remark. Two issues arise when attempting to prove an unconditional version of the above result. The first is that the unconditional zero-free regions for L-functions have implied constants that depend quite badly on the degree of the L-function involved. (See for example Theorem 5.33 of [7].) The second is the presence of the possible exceptional zero. Without accounting for the exceptional zero issue, it seems that the best lower bound we can obtain using the zero-free regions mentioned above is $r \gg \sqrt{\log \log d_L}$.

We now illustrate the nature of our question by handling the case where $L/\mathbb{Q}$ is abelian of degree d_L. By Kronecker-Weber we have $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ for some n, and

$$\zeta_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(s) = \zeta(s) \prod_{i=2}^{d_L} L(s, \chi_i), \tag{1.4}$$

where χ_i are Dirichlet characters of conductor N_i for some $N_i | n$. We have $\mathrm{Disc}(L) = \prod_i N_i$, and therefore

$$\log(\mathrm{rd}_L) = \frac{1}{d_L} \sum_{i=2}^{d_L} \log(N_i). \tag{1.5}$$

Let $M := \sqrt{d_L/2}$. There are $\leq M^2$ Dirichlet characters with conductor $\leq M$, so that with $d_L = 2M^2$ the right side of (1.5) is $> \frac{1}{2} \log M$, so that

$$\mathrm{rd}_L > \exp\left(\frac{1}{4} \log \left(\frac{d_L}{2} \right) \right), \tag{1.6}$$

a bound of the same shape as our theorems. Although this proof is not complicated, it makes essential use of class field theory and it seems that the use of sophisticated tools cannot be avoided. We could improve our bound somewhat, but note that it is already stronger than the (conditional) bound $\log(\mathrm{rd}_L) \gg (\log d_L)^{1/2}$ implied by Theorem 1.2. Observe also that for $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ we have $\mathrm{rd}_L = p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}$ and $d_L = p - 1 \approx \mathrm{rd}_L$, implying a limit on the scope for improvement.

As an application of Theorem 1.2 we can say something about unramified extensions of a fixed number field K. Of course, the maximal unramified *abelian* extension of K is the Hilbert class field of K and the degree of this extension is h_K, the order of the ideal class group. However, there are number fields K with unramified Galois extensions L so that $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ has no non-trivial abelian quotients. If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2869})$, and L is the splitting field of $x^5 - x + 1$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, then L/K is unramified and $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \cong A_5$.

Corollary 1.3. *Assume that all Artin L-functions are entire and satisfy the Riemann Hypothesis. Let L_1/K, L_2/K, …, L_N/K be linearly disjoint unramified Galois extensions and suppose that $\mathrm{Gal}(L_i/K)$ has an irreducible representation of degree r for $1 \le i \le N$. Then*

$$\log(N) \ll r^5 \log^2(|\mathrm{Disc}(K)|) d_K,$$

where $d_K = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Remark. The main theorem proven by Ellenberg and Venkatesh in [2] shows that the number M of degree n unramified extensions of K satisfies

$$\log(M) \ll n^{\epsilon}(n \log(|\mathrm{Disc}(K)|) + d_K C_n)$$

where C_n is some constant that depends on n. Because the power of $\log(|\mathrm{Disc}(K)|)$ is smaller, this result is better for fixed n and varying K. However, since the size of C_n is not specified, our result is better for fixed K and varying n.

Remark. Another potential application occurs in the case when $r = 2$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Our theorem gives bounds on the number of degree 2 Artin L-functions with conductor bounded by q. In the odd case, these arise from weight 1 newforms of level q and in the even case, these arise (conjecturally) from Maass forms with eigenvalue 1/4. However, we obtain bounds that are worse than polynomial in q. In [11], Michel and Venkatesh use the Petersson-Kuznetsov formula to obtain bounds of the form $q^{c+\epsilon}$, where c is a constant depending on the type of representation (dihedral, tetrahedral, octohedral, or icosahedral).

Throughout the paper we use the notation $d_K = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, $d_L = [L : \mathbb{Q}]$, $|\mathrm{Disc}(K)|$ for the absolute value of the discriminant of K, $\mathcal{O}_K$ for the ring of integers of K, $\mathrm{rd}_K = |\mathrm{Disc}(K)|^{1/d_K}$, $\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})$ for the norm from K to $\mathbb{Q}$ of an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$, h_K for the order of the ideal class group of $\mathcal{O}_K$, and $\mathfrak{d}_{L/K}$ for the relative discriminant of L over K. We provide a little bit of preliminary background in Section 2, and then we prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 3 and Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 in Section 4.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Kannan Soundararajan for suggesting the basic idea of this paper to us.

2. Background on number fields, discriminants, and conductors

In this section we briefly recall a few facts related to zeta and L-functions associated to number fields, used in the proofs of both Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

The *Dedekind zeta function* of a number field L is given by the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_L(s) = \sum \mathcal{N}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the sum is over integral ideals of $\mathfrak{a}$. For a Galois extension L/K, this zeta function enjoys the factorization

$$\zeta_L(s) = \zeta_{L/K}(s) = \prod_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Gal}(L/K))} L(s,\rho)^{\deg \rho}, \tag{2.2}$$

where ρ varies over all irreducible complex representations of $G := \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$, and $L(s,\rho)$ is the associated Artin L-function. (For background on Artin L-functions see Neukirch [13]; see p. 524 for the proof of (2.2) in particular.)

This formula is the non-abelian generalization of (1.4). In general, it is not known that the $L(s,\rho)$ are "proper" L-functions (as defined on [7, p. 94] for example) and in particular that they are holomorphic in the critical strip. However, this was conjectured by Artin; we refer to this assumption as the Artin Conjecture and assume its truth in Section 4.

Remark. As a consequence of Brauer's theorem on group characters [13, p. 522], It is known that the Artin L-functions are quotients of Hecke L-functions, and therefore meromorphic, and this suffices in many applications. For example, Lagarias and Odlyzko [9] used this fact to prove an unconditional and effective version of the Chebotarev density theorem.

If $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ is abelian, then the representations are all one-dimensional, and class field theory establishes that the characters of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ coincide with Hecke characters of L/K, so that (2.2) becomes

$$\zeta_{L/K}(s) = \zeta_K(s) \prod_{i=2}^{d_L/d_K} L(s,\chi_i), \tag{2.3}$$

where the product ranges over Hecke characters of K. As in our application of (1.4), we will argue that there cannot be too many characters χ or representations ρ of small conductor (and, in the latter case, of bounded degree).

We can use (2.2) to derive more general versions of (1.5): It follows [13, p. 527] from (2.2) that the relative discriminant $\mathfrak{d}(L/K)$ satisfies the formula

$$\mathfrak{d}(L/K) = \prod_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \mathfrak{f}(\rho)^{\deg \rho}, \tag{2.4}$$

where the ideal $\mathfrak{f}(\rho)$ of K is the Artin conductor associated to ρ.

If L/K is abelian then we can write this as $\mathfrak{d}(L/K) = \prod_{\chi_i} \mathfrak{f}(\chi_i)$. Taking norms down to $\mathbb{Q}$ and using the relation ([13], p. 202)

$$|\mathrm{Disc}(L)| = |\mathrm{Disc}(K)|^{[L:K]} \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{d}_{L/K}), \tag{2.5}$$

we obtain

$$\log(\mathrm{rd}_L) = \log(\mathrm{rd}_K) + \frac{1}{d_L} \sum_i \log(\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{f}(\chi_i)). \tag{2.6}$$

If L/K is not necessarily abelian, then the conductor $q(\rho)$ of $L(s,\rho)$ is related to $\mathfrak{f}(\rho)$ by the formula

$$q(\rho) = |\mathrm{Disc}(K)|^{\deg \rho} \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{f}(\rho). \tag{2.7}$$

Taking absolute norms in (2.4), multiplying by $|\mathrm{Disc}(K)|^d$, and again using (2.5) we obtain

$$|\mathrm{Disc}(L)| = \prod_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} q(\rho)^{\deg \rho}. \tag{2.8}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first prove a lemma bounding some quantities which occur in the proof.

Lemma 3.1. *For a number field F of degree f, the following hold:*

1. *The number of ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$ with $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}) < Y$ is bounded by $Y(1 + \log Y)^f$.*
2. *We have $h_F < |\mathrm{Disc}(F)|^{1/2}\big(1 + \frac{1}{2}\log|\mathrm{Disc}(F)|\big)^f$.*

Proof. This is standard and we give an easy proof inspired by [1, p. 68]. We have the coefficientwise bound $\zeta_F(s) < \zeta(s)^f = \sum_n d_f(n) n^{-s}$. If $\sigma > 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n<Y} d_f(n) < \sum_n d_f(n)(Y/n)^\sigma = Y^\sigma \zeta(\sigma)^k.$$

We now choose $\sigma = 1 + 1/\log Y$, and use the fact that $\zeta(\sigma) < 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma - 1}$ for $\sigma > 1$.

The second part follows from the classical Minkowski bound (see, e.g. [13, Ch. 1.6]), which states that each ideal class in $\mathcal{O}_F$ is represented by an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ with $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}) < \sqrt{|\mathrm{Disc}(F)|}$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is similar to that of (1.6), but we will need to work with messier inequalities.

By the character theory remarks after the theorem, L has a subfield F, for which L/F is abelian, such that $\frac{d_F}{d_K} \leq (r!)^2 < r^{2r}$. We may assume that d_F, rd_F, r, d_L, and rd_L (but not d_K) are all bounded below by some absolute constant. Depending on the relative sizes of these quantities, we will see that either

$$r \gg \frac{\log\log(d_L)}{\log\log\log(d_L)} - \log d_K, \tag{3.1}$$

or

$$\log(r d_L) \gg \log\log(d_L), \tag{3.2}$$

implying the theorem.

We begin with the generalization (2.6) of (1.5), which said that

$$\log(\mathrm{rd}_L) = \log(\mathrm{rd}_F) + \frac{1}{d_L}\sum_i \log(\mathcal{N}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\mathfrak{f}(\chi_i)), \tag{3.3}$$

where χ_i are distinct Hecke characters of F. The number of characters of conductor $\mathfrak{m}$ is less than $2^{d_F} h_F \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{m})$ [12, Theorem V.1.7, pg. 146], and Lemma 3.1 bounds both h_F and the number of $\mathfrak{m}$ which can appear, so that the number of characters whose conductor has norm $\leq Y$ is bounded above by $Y^2|\mathrm{Disc}(F)|^{1/2}\big(2 + \log(Y^2|\mathrm{Disc}(F)|)\big)^{2d_F}$.

Given d_L and d_F, suppose that Y is defined by the equation

$$\frac{d_L}{2d_F} = Y^2|\mathrm{Disc}(F)|^{1/2}\big(2 + \log(Y^2|\mathrm{Disc}(F)|)\big)^{2d_F}, \tag{3.4}$$

so that in (3.3) there are at least $\frac{d_L}{2d_F}$ characters of conductor $> Y$, so that

$$\log(\mathrm{rd}_L) \geq \log(\mathrm{rd}_F) + \frac{1}{2d_F}\log Y. \tag{3.5}$$

(Observe that we do not necessarily have $Y > 1$, for example if L is the Hilbert class field of F.) We divide our analysis of (3.5) into three cases and prove that each implies (3.1) or (3.2).

Large discriminant. If $\mathrm{Disc}(F) \geq d_L^{1/10}$, we ignore (3.5) and instead note that $\log(\mathrm{rd}_F) \geq \frac{1}{10 d_F} \log(d_L)$ and so

$$\log(\mathrm{rd}_L) \geq \frac{1}{10 r^{2r} d_K} \log d_L, \tag{3.6}$$

and we obtain at least one of (3.1) and (3.2) depending on whether or not $r^{2r} d_K > (\log d_L)^{1/2}$.

We assume henceforth that $\mathrm{Disc}(F) < d_L^{1/10}$, which implies that $d_F < \frac{1}{10} \log d_L$ for $d_F \geq 3$, and write $Z := Y^2 |\mathrm{Disc}(F)|^{1/2}$.

Small discriminant and large degree. Assume first that either $Z \leq (2 + 2\log Z)^{2d_F}$ or $Y < 100$, and write $Y' := \max(Y, 100)$. Applying our upper bounds on $\mathrm{Disc}(F)$, d_F, and Z, we see that

$$d_L^{4/5} \ll \Big(2 \log(Y'^2 |\mathrm{Disc}(F)|)\Big)^{4d_F}. \tag{3.7}$$

Taking logarithms and applying the bound[1] $Y'^2 |\mathrm{Disc}(F)| < d_L$ we obtain

$$\log d_L \ll d_F \log\log(d_L), \tag{3.8}$$

so that $\frac{\log d_L}{\log\log d_L} \ll d_K r^{2r}$, which implies that $r \gg \frac{\log\log d_L}{\log\log\log d_L} - \log d_K$.

Small discriminant and small degree. Finally, assume that $Z > (2+2\log Z)^{2d_F}$ and $Y \geq 100$. Then $d_F \ll \frac{\log Z}{\log\log Z}$, and our bound on $\mathrm{Disc}(F)$ implies that $\log Z \ll \log Y$, so that $d_F \ll \frac{\log Y}{\log\log Y}$. We thus have $\frac{\log Y}{2d_F} \gg \log\log Y$, and our various inequalities imply that $\log Y \gg \log d_L$, so that for an absolute constant C, (3.5) gives us

$$\log(\mathrm{rd}_L) \geq \log(\mathrm{rd}_F) + C \log\log d_L. \tag{3.9}$$

This completes our list of cases, and hence the proof. $\square$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In the proof we will assume familiarity with Artin L-functions and Rankin-Selberg convolutions, as described in Neukirch [13] (and Section 2) and Iwaniec-Kowalski [7] respectively. We also assume the truth of the Artin Conjecture. There is no theoretical obstacle to carrying out the methods of this section without any unproved hypotheses, but when we tried this the error terms in (4.6) were too large to be of interest.

As in the non-abelian case, we need to bound the number of possible $q(\rho)$ of bounded conductor (and now also of bounded degree). However, in general the representations ρ are not (yet!) known to correspond to arithmetic objects which might be more easily counted. Instead, in Proposition 4.1 we (conditionally) bound the number of possible L-functions. Assuming GRH and the Artin Conjecture, we will see that any two such Artin L-functions must have rather different Fourier expansions, because their Rankin-Selberg convolution cannot have a pole. A pigeonhole-type argument will then allow us to bound the number of possible L-functions.

After proving Proposition 4.1 we will conclude as before. In brief, if L/K is a Galois extension of large degree with many representations of small degree, then many of these representations will have large conductor, and so L will have large discriminant.

---

[1] If $Y' = 100$, this follows from $\mathrm{Disc}(F) < d_L^{1/10}$. If $Y' = Y$, this follows from (3.4).

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that the Artin Conjecture and Riemann Hypothesis hold for Artin L-functions, and let ρ and ρ' be distinct irreducible nontrivial representations of* $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ *of degree r and conductor $\leq q$ (as defined in (2.7)). Then, for $X \gg r^2 \log^2 q$ we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{p} \in [X,2X] \\ \mathfrak{p} \text{ unramified}}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} |\alpha_{i,\rho}(\mathfrak{p}) - \alpha_{i,\rho'}(\mathfrak{p})| \gg \frac{X}{r \log X}. \tag{4.1}$$

Furthermore, the number of representations of degree $\leq r$ and conductor $\leq q$ is

$$\leq C^{r^3 \log^2(q) d_K}, \tag{4.2}$$

for an absolute constant $C \geq 1.75$.

Remark. The bound (4.2) is rather simple-minded, and we could remove the factor d_K by instead insisting that q be sufficiently large in terms of K.

Proof. This is essentially Proposition 5.22 of Iwaniec and Kowalski [7]; although our conclusion is stronger, our proof is essentially the same.

Consider the tensor product representations $\rho \otimes \overline{\rho}$ and $\rho \otimes \overline{\rho'}$, whose L-functions are equal to the Rankin-Selberg convolutions $L(s, \rho \otimes \overline{\rho})$ and $L(s, \rho \otimes \overline{\rho'})$ (so that the notation is not ambiguous). A simple character-theoretic argument shows that the trivial representation does not occur in $\rho \otimes \overline{\rho'}$, while it occurs with multiplicity one in $\rho \otimes \overline{\rho}$. Assuming the Artin conjecture, then, $L(s, \rho \otimes \overline{\rho'})$ and $L(s, \rho \otimes \overline{\rho})\zeta(s)^{-1}$ are entire functions.

Let $\phi \geq 0$ be a smooth, nonzero test function with support in $[1, 2]$ and let $X > 0$ be a real number to be determined. Then, using the "explicit formula" (Theorem 5.11 of [7]), we find (see p. 118 of [7]) that, assuming GRH and the Artin Conjecture,

$$\sum_n \Lambda_{\rho \otimes \overline{\rho'}}(n) \phi(n/X) \ll \sqrt{X} \log(\mathfrak{q}(\rho \otimes \overline{\rho'})), \tag{4.3}$$

$$\sum_n \Lambda_{\rho \otimes \overline{\rho}}(n) \phi(n/X) = \widehat{\phi}(0) X + \sqrt{X} \log(\mathfrak{q}(\rho \otimes \overline{\rho})). \tag{4.4}$$

Here $\widehat{\phi}(0) = \int \phi(t) dt > 0$, and the coefficients Λ are defined, for any L-function $L(f, s)$, by the relation

$$\sum_n \Lambda_f(n) n^{-s} = -\frac{L'}{L}(f, s).$$

Also, $\mathfrak{q}(\rho) = q(\rho) \prod_{j=1}^r (|\kappa_j| + 3)$ denotes the analytic conductor of ρ defined by equation (5.8) of [7]. To bound this analytic conductor, we require information about the gamma factors of Artin L-functions and the conductor of $\rho \otimes \psi$ (where $\psi = \overline{\rho}$ or $\overline{\rho'}$).

The fact that the $L(s, \rho)$ are factors of the Dedekind zeta function, and the fact that the Dedekind zeta function only has gamma factors $\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)$ implies that $0 \leq \kappa_j \leq 1/2$ for all j. We have that $q(\rho \otimes \psi) = |\mathrm{Disc}(K)|^{\deg(\rho \otimes \psi)} \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{f}(\rho \otimes \psi))$ where

$$\mathfrak{f}(\rho \otimes \psi) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \infty} \mathfrak{p}^{\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\rho \otimes \psi)}, \qquad f_{\mathfrak{p}}(\rho \otimes \psi) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_i}{g_0} \mathrm{codim}\ V^{G_i}.$$

Here V is a vector space affording the representation $\rho \otimes \psi$, G_i is the ith ramification group, and $g_i = |G_i|$ (this definition is from page 527 of [13]). A straightforward argument using these definitions gives that

$$q(\rho \otimes \psi) \leq |\mathrm{Disc}(K)|^{r^2} \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{f}(\rho) \mathfrak{f}(\psi)). \tag{4.5}$$

Combining these estimates for the analytic conductor yields the bound

$$\begin{aligned}\log(\mathfrak{q}(\rho \otimes \psi)) &\ll r^2 + r^2 \log|\mathrm{Disc}(K)| + r \log \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{f}(\rho_1)\mathfrak{f}(\rho_2)) \\ &\ll r \log(q).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha_{i,\rho}$ and $\alpha_{i,\rho'}$ be the Frobenius eigenvalues for ρ and ρ' respectively, for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then, unpacking the definition of the Rankin-Selberg convolution (or, equivalently, the tensor product representation), we conclude that

$$\sum_{\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{p} \in [X,2X]} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq r} \left| \alpha_{i,\rho}(\mathfrak{p})\overline{\alpha_{j,\rho}(\mathfrak{p})} - \alpha_{i,\rho}(\mathfrak{p})\overline{\alpha_{j,\rho'}(\mathfrak{p})} \right| \gg \frac{X}{\log X} - O\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}}{\log X}(r \log q) \right). \tag{4.6}$$

The sum above is over prime ideals. We have removed the prime powers since the contribution from these is bounded by $\frac{2r^2 d_K \sqrt{X}}{\log(X)}$. Recalling that $q(\rho) = |\mathrm{Disc}(K)|^r \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{f}(\rho))$, it follows that $\log(q) \geq r \log|\mathrm{Disc}(K)|$. The fact that there is an absolute lower bound on rd_K implies that $d_K \ll \log|\mathrm{Disc}(K)|$. Thus,

$$\frac{2r^2 d_K \sqrt{X}}{\log(X)} \ll \frac{r^2 \log|\mathrm{Disc}(K)| \sqrt{X}}{\log(X)} \ll \frac{r \log(q) \sqrt{X}}{\log(X)},$$

which is contained in the error term above. Next, we remove the terms coming from "ramified primes", those for which $\alpha_{i,\rho}(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ for some i. These occur precisely at the primes for which $\mathfrak{p} | \mathfrak{f}(\rho)$ and the number of these between X and $2X$ is at most $\frac{\log q}{\log X}$. Noting that for a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ that is unramified in L/K, $|\alpha_{i,\rho}(\mathfrak{p})| = 1$ for all i, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{p} \in [X,2X], \\ \mathfrak{p} \text{ unramified}}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} |\alpha_{j,\rho}(\mathfrak{p}) - \alpha_{j,\rho'}(\mathfrak{p})| \gg \frac{1}{\log X} \left(X/r + O\left(\sqrt{X}(\log q) + r \log q \right) \right). \tag{4.7}$$

If $X \geq Dr^2 \log^2(q)$ for a sufficiently large absolute constant D, the error term above is just $O(X/r \log X)$, establishing the first part of the proposition.

The second part follows easily from the first. Let M be the number of primes in the above sum. There is some fixed constant ϵ so that if

$$|\alpha_{i,\rho}(\mathfrak{p}) - \alpha_{i,\rho'}(\mathfrak{p})| \leq \frac{\epsilon X}{r^2 \log(X) M}$$

for all i and unramified $\mathfrak{p}$, then (4.7) and (4.1) are contradicted. Note that since $\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified, $\alpha_{i,\rho}(\mathfrak{p})$ and and $\alpha_{i,\rho'}(\mathfrak{p})$ lie on the unit circle. There is a constant B so that at most BY points can be placed on the unit circle with pairwise distances at least $1/Y$. Hence, by the pigeonhole principle, there can be at most

$$N = \left(\frac{Br^2 \log(X) M}{\epsilon X} \right)^{r(\pi_K(2X) - \pi_K(X))}$$

Artin L-functions of degree r and conductor $\leq q$. Here, $\pi_K(X)$ is the number of prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ of norm less than or equal to X. We have $M \leq \pi_K(2X) - \pi_K(X) \ll d_K X/\log(X)$ and so

$$\log(N) \ll \frac{rXd_K}{\log(X)}[\log(1+r) + \log(d_K)].$$

Now, note that $\log(X) \gg \log(1+r) + \log\log(q)$. Since $q = |\mathrm{Disc}(K)|^r \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{f}(\rho))$, we have $\log(q) \gg r \log|\mathrm{Disc}(K)| \gg d_K$. Thus, $\log\log(q) \gg \log(d_K)$ and so we get

$$\log(N) \ll r^3 \log^2(q) d_K.$$

As $e^{r^3\log^2(q)d_K}$ increases rapidly in r, the number of representations of conductor $\leq q$ and degree $r' \leq r$ is bounded by

$$C^{r^3\log^2(q)d_K}$$

for some absolute constant C. ☐

We now prove Theorem 1.2 using Proposition 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume L/K is a Galois extension of degree d whose irreducible complex representations all have degree at most r. Choose the smallest $A \geq 2$ so that

$$\frac{d}{2r^2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} C^{r^2(r-i)\log^2(A^2)d_K}. \tag{4.8}$$

We wish to estimate A in terms of $|\mathrm{Disc}(L)|$. By Proposition 4.1, the number of representations with degree $r-i$ and conductor $\leq A^{\frac{2r}{r-i}}$ is

$$\leq C^{(r-i)^3\log^2(A^{\frac{2r}{r-i}})d_K} = C^{r^2(r-i)\log^2(A^2)d_K}.$$

Every other representation has $q(\rho)^{\deg\rho} \geq A^{2r}$. There are at least $d/2r^2$ of these, and so (2.8) gives

$$|\mathrm{Disc}(L)| = \prod_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{Gal}(L/K))} q(\rho)^{\deg\rho} \geq \left(A^{2r}\right)^{\frac{d}{2r^2}} = A^{\frac{d}{r}}.$$

Thus, $\log(A) \leq \frac{r}{d}\log(|\mathrm{Disc}(L)|)$. Since $d_L = d_K \cdot d$, we have $\log(A) \leq r \cdot d_K \log(\mathrm{rd}_L)$.

Equation (4.8) gives

$$\frac{d}{2r^2} \leq C^{r^3\log^2(A^2)d_K} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - C^{-r^2\log^2(A^2)d_K}} \leq 2C^{r^3\log^2(A^2)d_K},$$

which gives

$$\log(d) \ll r^3\log^2(A)d_K \ll r^5 d_K^3 \log(\mathrm{rd}_L)^2.$$

Hence, $r \gg \frac{\log(d)^{1/5}}{d_K^{3/5}\log(\mathrm{rd}_L)^{2/5}}$. ☐

Finally, we prove Corollary 1.3 using Proposition 4.1.

Proof. Let L be the compositum of the L_i. Then, we have

$$\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{Gal}(L_i/K)$$

From Theorem 4.21 of [6], if $\rho_i : \mathrm{Gal}(L_i/K) \to \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ is an irreducible representation, then the map $\tilde{\rho}_i(g) = \rho_i(g|_{L_i})$ is also an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ which is distinct from $\tilde{\rho}_j$ for $i \neq j$. All of these representations have conductor $q = |\mathrm{Disc}(K)|^r$ and Proposition 4.1 implies that

$$\log(N) \ll r^5\log^2(|\mathrm{Disc}(K)|)d_K,$$

as desired. ☐

References

[1] A. C. Cojocaru and M. R. Murty, *An introduction to sieve methods and their applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[2] J. Ellenberg and A. Venkatesh, *The number of extensions of a number field with fixed degree and bounded discriminant*, Ann. of Math. (2) 163 (2006), no. 2, 723–741.

[3] E. Golod and I. Shafarevich, *On class field towers*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR **28** (1964), 261–272 [In Russian]; English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Vol. 48, pp.91–102, American Math Society, Providence, RI, 1965.

[4] F. Hajir and C. Maire, *Tamely ramified towers and discriminant bounds for number fields*, Compositio Math. **128** (2001), no. 1, 35–53.

[5] F. Hajir and C. Maire, *Tamely ramified towers and discriminant bounds for number fields. II*, J. Symbolic Comput. **33** (2002), no. 4, 415–423.

[6] I. M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006.

[7] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[8] J. Jones and D. Roberts, *Galois number fields with small root discriminant*, J. Number Theory **122** (2007), no. 2, 379–407.

[9] J. Lagarias and A. Odlyzko, *Effective versions of the Chebotarev density theorem*, Algebraic number fields: L-functions and Galois properties (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1975), pp. 409–464, Academic Press, London, 1977.

[10] J. Martinet, *Petits discriminants*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **29** (1979), 159–170.

[11] P. Michel and A. Venkatesh, *On the dimension of the space of cusp forms associated to 2-dimensional complex Galois representations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2002), no. 38, 2021–2027.

[12] J. S. Milne, *Class field theory (v4.01)*, available at `www.jmilne.org/math/`, 2011.

[13] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[14] A. Odlyzko, *Bounds for discriminants and related estimates for class numbers, regulators, and zeros for zeta functions: a survey of recent results*, Sém. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux (2) **2** (1990), no. 1, 119–141.

[15] G. Poitou, *Minorations de discriminants (d'aprés A. M. Odlyzko)*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1975/76, 28ème année, Exp. No. 479, pp. 136-153, Lecture Notes in Math. 567, Springer, 1977.

[16] J. Voight, *Enumeration of totally real number fields of bounded root discriminant*, Algorithmic number theory, 268–281, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 5011, Springer, Berlin, 2008.

Department of Mathematics, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC 27109
E-mail address: `rouseja@wfu.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, 1523 Greene Street, Columbia, SC 29208
E-mail address: `thorne@math.sc.edu`